Appendix 3

Master Plan Option Assessment

Scoring Sheets

Bayswater 2020 Criteria - aspirations/directions for the Traingle Site

· ·		•			<u> </u>										•	
	land use criteria		transport criteria			built form criteria										
	mixed use on	bulky goods,	north east of site	integrating land	undergrounding	reduce car	active and safe	preserve vistas to	increase in	improves	excellent built	improved sense	entry points -	bush boulevard -		
	station street	entertainment,	gateway site	uses with	or railway	parking and	environment	Dandenong	heights up to 5	pedestrian and	form and	of public realm -	sense of arrival	principal		
		education uses	(convention	transport		speeds in the		Ranges	storeys	cycling amenity -	landscaping	community	and departure	corridors		
		on land east of	centre/hotel)			area				connect to		space/town sq				
		railway								surrounding area		close to train				
												station				
															scoring totals	
option a score	3	3	3	2	0	3	3	2	2	3	3	2	2	3	option a total	
option a	9	6	6	6	0	6	9	2	6	9	9	6	2	3	79	2nd
weighted score																
option b score	3	2	I	I	0	I	I	2	2	2	2	1	I	3	option b total	
option b	9	4	2	6	0	2	3	2	6	6	6	3	ı	3	53	3rd
weighted score																
option c	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	2	2	4	4	3	3	2	option c total	
option c	12	6	6	9	4	8	12	2	6	12	12	9	3	2	103	lst
veighted score																

weighting of criteria	reasoning	weighting applied to option score
high weight	Fulfils B2020 and other major State and Local Gov't policies	multiply score by factor of 3
medium weight	Fulfils B2020 and other State and Local Gov't policies	multiply score by factor of 2
low weight	Fulfils B2020 and Local Gov't	multiply score by

Scoring options	0	does not achieve
against criteria		
		achieves in part
	2	does achieve
	3	achieves well
	4	achieves
		excellently

Other Important/Influential Assessment Criteria:

sustainability criteria

	benefit to Council	time frames	Council's ability to influence/complexity of negotiations with landholders	Commercial viability/risk	provision of housing	provision of community infrastructure	employment generation	environmental benefits	scoring totals			
option a	2	3	2	3		2 :	2	3 2		19		
										lst		
option b	I	4	3	2	2 1		I	1 1		15		
										3rd		
option c	3	2	I	I		3	3	3 3		19		
										lst		
	scoring schemes for each criteria:											
	0 nil	0 never happen	0 impossible	0 bad/too risky		0 does not achie	eve					
	I some	l 30+ years	l v low	l viable in part/high risk		I achieves in par	rt					
	2 good	2 16-30years	2 reasonable	2 viable/risk associated	:	2 does achieve						
	3 v good	3 5-15 years	3 high	3 good viability/low risk		3 achieves well						
	4 excellent	4 2-5 years	4 v high	4 excellent viabilty/min risk		4 achieves excel	lently					